


# A Clickable and Photocleavable Lipid Analogue for Cell Membrane Delivery and Release

Shahrina Alam,<sup>†</sup> Daiane S. Alves,<sup>‡</sup> Stuart A. Whitehead,<sup>†</sup> Andrew M. Bayer,<sup>†</sup> Christopher D. McNitt,<sup>§</sup> Vladimir V. Popik,<sup>§</sup> Francisco N. Barrera,<sup>‡</sup> and Michael D. Best<sup>\*,†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry, <sup>‡</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Cellular and Molecular Biology, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, United States

<sup>§</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, United States

**S** Supporting Information



**ABSTRACT:** For drug delivery purposes, the ability to conveniently attach a targeting moiety that will deliver drugs to cells and then enable controlled release of the active molecule after localization is desirable. Toward this end, we designed and synthesized clickable and photocleavable lipid analogue **1** to maximize the efficiency of bioconjugation and triggered release. This compound contains a dibenzocyclooctyne group for bioorthogonal derivatization linked via a photocleavable 2-nitrobenzyl moiety at the headgroup of a synthetic lipid backbone for targeting to cell membranes. To assess delivery and release using this system, we report fluorescence-based assays for liposomal modification and photocleavage in solution as well as through surface immobilization to demonstrate successful liposome functionalization and photoinduced release. In addition, fluorophore delivery to and release from live cells was confirmed and characterized using fluorescence microscopy and flow cytometry analysis in which **1** was delivered to cells, derivatized, and photocleaved. Finally, drug delivery studies were performed using an azide-tagged analogue of camptothecin, a potent anticancer drug that is challenging to deliver due to poor solubility. In this case, the ester attachment of the azide tag acted as a caging group for release by intracellular esterases rather than through photocleavage. This resulted in a dose-dependent response in the presence of liposomes containing delivery agent **1**, confirming the ability of this compound to stimulate delivery to the cytoplasm of cells.

## INTRODUCTION

For the purposes of drug delivery, vehicles and targeting groups including lipids and liposomes, polymers, microspheres, and antibodies have received considerable attention with the goal of enhancing therapeutic properties.<sup>1</sup> Of these, lipids represent effective agents for the delivery of drugs and imaging agents due to their biocompatibility and their ability to target molecules to cells through actions including insertion and fusion involving cellular membranes.<sup>1–5</sup> For this reason, the development of facile methods for covalent conjugation of active molecules onto lipids and liposomal surfaces has been of considerable interest in recent years. For such bioconjugation approaches, it is beneficial to invoke bioorthogonal methods to enable the selective attachment of molecules within the complex environments of biological samples.<sup>6,7</sup> Furthermore, if molecules are covalently attached to lipids that anchor them to the

membrane, following delivery to cells, it is advantageous to utilize a controllable means to trigger the release of the active molecule from the membrane surface.


In the use of bioorthogonal derivatization for the attachment of molecules to lipids and membranes, click chemistry reactions have recently been pursued due to benefits including selectivity and fast kinetics under ambient conditions.<sup>6–11</sup> While initial approaches focused on the copper-catalyzed azide–alkyne cycloaddition,<sup>12–19</sup> this reaction suffers from some disadvantages, as the required copper catalyst can promote the decomposition of lipid membranes<sup>20</sup> and complicate delivery in vivo. As a result, we and others have explored the application

**Received:** January 20, 2015

**Revised:** April 7, 2015

**Published:** April 30, 2015

Scheme 1. Synthetic Route to Clickable and Photocleavable Lipid Delivery Agent 1



of copper-free click chemistry for lipid and membrane derivatization.<sup>21–23</sup> Examples of applications of this approach have included the attachment of thrombomodulin proteins to liposomes to enhance activity<sup>22</sup> as well as the targeting of liposomes bearing *trans*-cyclooctene moieties to cancer cells via the pHLIP peptide for PET imaging studies.<sup>23</sup>

With regard to the triggered release of molecules, external stimuli that have been used for this purpose include light,<sup>24–27</sup> redox conditions,<sup>28</sup> enzymes,<sup>29</sup> temperature,<sup>30–33</sup> and pH.<sup>34–37</sup> However, most of these processes are limited by the restrictive conditions required for stimuli-responsive release, as they rely on differences in properties between cell types. Of these, light-initiated release is favorable because it is a general approach that can be controlled in a spatially resolved manner to stimulate release at desired target sites, and the 2-nitrobenzyl group is among the best studied for photoinitiated bond cleavage.<sup>38</sup> Prior work involving the incorporation of photocleavable groups into lipids has focused on light-initiated release of molecules from liposomes.<sup>39–45</sup> In these cases, molecules such as drugs have been noncovalently encapsulated in the liposome, and release was stimulated by decomposition or disruption of the membrane. However, noncovalent molecular encapsulation can suffer from background leakage and thus it may be preferable to covalently attach target molecules to lipids prior to triggering the release through bond cleavage.


## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this work, we set out to develop a facile and effective system that enables lipid conjugation for delivery purposes followed by photocleavage for the release of active molecules after targeting. To do so, we designed copper-free clickable and photocleavable


lipid analogue **1**. Included at the headgroup of this lipid is an azidobenzocyclooctyne group (termed ADIBO or DIBAC), which benefits from fast kinetics in modification through the copper-free azide–alkyne cycloaddition reaction with azides.<sup>50–52</sup> This group is linked to the lipid backbone via a 2-nitrobenzyl moiety to enable release of molecules attached via click chemistry through photolysis.

The synthetic route to clickable and photocleavable lipid **1** entailed coupling of alkyne-bearing 2-nitrobenzene **2** to azido-lipid scaffold **3** via click chemistry, followed by reaction with ADIBO-carboxylic acid **4** (Scheme 1). Alkynyl 2-nitrobenzene **2** was synthesized from 4-aminomethylbenzoic acid (**5**) via amine protection to **6**, nitration to **7**, and protecting group exchange to **8** using previously reported procedures.<sup>41</sup> Carboxylic acid **8** was then coupled to propargylamine to generate **2**. While we have previously reported azide-tagged lipid **3**,<sup>47</sup> a modified synthesis was developed to enhance yields by avoiding volatile intermediates. For the current route, *S*-glycerol acetone (**9**) was converted to tosylate **10**, as previously reported, followed by acetone deprotection to diol **11**, azide displacement to **12**, and acylation with two stearic acid moieties to produce **3**. Compounds **2** and **3** were next linked to form **13** via click chemistry, which was exploited due to synthetic facility and functional group tolerance. Furthermore, prior evidence has suggested that the polarity of the triazole headgroup may make this moiety an effective polar lipid headgroup mimic that lacks the charge that makes phospholipids difficult to purify and characterize.<sup>47,53</sup> This medium-polarity headgroup was seen as being beneficial for potentially enabling the ability to flip across cell membranes. Finally, the Boc protecting group of **13** was deprotected, and the resulting

Scheme 2. Approach to the Analysis of Cell Membrane Delivery and Release Using Compound 1



Scheme 3. Liposome Immobilization Assay Using Reaction with 3-Azidocoumarin (18) To Characterize Membrane Derivatization




amine was coupled to ADIBO-carboxylic acid **4**, synthesized as previously described,<sup>49</sup> to access **1**.

The initial thought process for delivery to and release from cells using compound **1** is depicted in Scheme 2, as evidenced by fluorescence-based derivatization, which was initially

employed to characterize the system. First, compound **1** is incubated with cells, leading to insertion into cell membranes due to the hydrophobic lipid backbone of **1**. Following this, azide-tagged molecules can be targeted to cells through bioorthogonal copper-free click reaction with the ADIBO unit

of **1**. Alternatively, targeting groups could be clicked onto **1** prior to cell delivery, or conjugation could be performed using liposomes containing **1** for subsequent delivery and fusion with cell membranes. In the example depicted in Scheme 2, derivatization with Azide-Fluor 488 (**14**) leading to triazole-linked product **15** is exploited for fluorescence-based analysis. Subsequently, irradiation with 350 nm light is performed to achieve photocleavage and thus release of the clicked molecule from cellular membranes, either into the cytoplasm or the periphery of the outside of the cell. This occurs through the traditional cleavage of the benzylic position to produce aldehyde–lipid byproduct **16** as well as the released triazole-linked conjugated molecules, in this case, **17**.

To characterize the efficacy of compound **1** for membrane delivery and release, we initiated studies using liposomes as model membranes.<sup>54–57</sup> This was initially performed in solution through reaction of liposomes with 3-azidocoumarin (**18**, Scheme 3), a fluorogenic click reagent.<sup>58</sup> To do so, unilamellar liposomes (~200 nm) composed primarily of phosphatidylcholine (96%) and doped with compound **1** (4%) were generated using standard procedures including hydration and extrusion as well as dynamic light scattering to characterize liposome size. Next, derivatization of liposomes with **18** was assessed in solution using a fluorimeter to track enhanced fluorescence resulting from click chemistry.<sup>58</sup> As can be seen in Figure 1, addition of **18** led to increasing fluorescence that




**Figure 1.** Change in fluorescence of azido-coumarin **18** in the presence of liposomes containing and lacking cyclooctyne-lipid **1**.

plateaued after ~40 min, indicating a successful click reaction. Additionally, a control was run in which liposomes lacking **1** were incubated with **18**, also depicted in Figure 1. In this case, only a minor increase in fluorescence was observed, likely attributable to a slight enhancement of the background fluorescence properties of **18** caused by some insertion into the hydrophobic membrane environment. This change in fluorescence of **18** in the presence of membranes explains the double curve character of the results seen from the study sample.

While the solution liposome assay allows for detection as a function of time, this study is complicated by the background increase in fluorescence of **18** in the presence of membranes lacking **1**. Thus, for an alternative method for detecting liposome conjugation via **1**, we turned to a surface immobilization assay that we have previously utilized (Scheme 3).<sup>47,59</sup> In this assay, liposomes are immobilized onto

streptavidin-coated 96-well microplates through incorporation of biotin–lipid analogue **19**. Following incubation of liposomes containing biotin anchor lipid **19** with azido-coumarin **18**, the immobilization step enables the removal of unreacted dye from the surface-bound liposomes. Liposome derivatization was assessed using a fluorescence microplate reader to detect the enhanced fluorescence upon triazole formation with **1** incorporated into liposomes. As can be seen in Figure 2, this




**Figure 2.** Change in fluorescence of azido-coumarin **18** in the presence of microplate-immobilized liposomes following wash off of unreacted dye.

experiment yielded a dose-dependent response, further confirming successful liposome conjugation via copper-free click chemistry with lipid **1**. In addition, the removal of unreacted dye yields a more traditional curve for product formation compared to the results obtained from the prior solution studies of liposome modification.


With successful results from liposome studies, we next set out to assess delivery and release using live *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells (Scheme 2). To do so, cells were grown in the presence and absence of **1** in YPD medium. Following this, cells were incubated with commercially available Azide-Fluor 488 (**14**) to assess delivery of the fluorophore to cells via fluorescence microscopy, and the representative images are shown in Figure 3. Here, cells grown in the presence of lipid **1** (Figure 3A) result in significant fluorescence compared to that of control studies lacking **1** (Figure 3B), both of which were incubated with Azide-Fluor 488 and washed with 25% DMSO/water to remove unreacted dye. To assess release via photocleavage, cells were photolyzed for different periods of time, followed by washes to remove dye that is no longer attached to the membrane and detection through fluorescence microscopy. A representative image in Figure 3C taken after 1 h of photocleavage demonstrates that fluorescence is significantly decreased following photocleavage. Control studies in which the labeling dye was subjected to irradiation conditions used for photolysis indicated that photobleaching is not occurring, as no decrease in fluorescence was observed (data not shown). In addition, transmission images (Figures 3D–F) are included for the three described images, respectively, showing the presence of cells in each sample. Finally, released fluorophore **17** was detected by mass spectrometry, with the spectrum included in the Supporting Information.

To obtain a more quantitative understanding of delivery and release in these studies, we next turned to flow cytometry-based





**Figure 3.** Fluorescence images of (A) cells grown in the presence of **1**, (B) cells grown in the absence of **1**, and (C) cells grown in the presence of **1** and irradiated for 1 h, each of which was washed to remove unbound dye prior to imaging. (D–F) Transmission images showing cell population for the three experiments, respectively.




**Figure 4.** Results from flow cytometry of labeled cells. (A) Bar graph depicting fluorescence detected for cells not treated with **1** (unstained), cells treated with **1** (stained), and treated cells irradiated for 1, 2, 3, and 12 h, each of which was washed prior to fluorescence imaging. Error bars show standard error from at least three replicate studies. (B) Flow cytometry results for cells that were unstained (red), after click with **14** (blue), and after photocleavage for 3 h (green) and 12 h (orange).

analysis, with results shown in Figure 4. Figure 4A consists of a bar graph showing the fluorescence of control cells that were not treated with **1** or **14** (unstained), cells after click and washing (stained), and stained cells after photocleavage for 1, 2, 3, and 12 h followed by washing. Here, photocleavage yielded a decrease to 70, 60, 57, and 42% of the original fluorescence of the unstained sample after irradiation for 1, 2, 3, and 12 h, respectively. In addition, representative plots of fluorescence

observed from flow cytometry analysis of the different described samples are shown in Figure 4B.

Finally, we set out to evaluate compound **1** as a tool for copper-free click-chemistry-based delivery and release of an anticancer therapeutic using human cancer cells. For this purpose, we selected camptothecin (CPT, **22**), a potent anticancer drug that is challenging to deliver due to its poor solubility.<sup>60</sup> Here, we were also interested in determining


Scheme 4. Delivery of Camptothecin to Cancer Cells<sup>a</sup>


<sup>a</sup>PC liposomes doped with **1** were reacted with azido-camptothecin **20** to produce **21** through click chemistry. The liposomes were then incubated with cancer cells to deliver camptothecin (**22**) through ester hydrolysis within cells.

whether compound **1** would allow clicked cargo to be released inside the cell, which is difficult to unambiguously determine through fluorescence analysis. Therefore, in order to deliver camptothecin via click chemistry, we switched to a release mechanism that requires delivery into cells by designing clickable analogue **20** containing an azido-hexanoyl chain esterified to the free hydroxyl group of the drug (Scheme 4). This compound was expected to undergo release upon cell internalization without requiring photocleavage since the ester linker can be hydrolyzed following cell entry by intracellular esterases, thereby releasing camptothecin itself. Thus, successful release would provide evidence of the ability of lipid **1** to deliver cargo into cells and additionally validate release by mechanisms other than photocleavage.

These studies were performed by incubating HeLa cells with PC liposomes doped with 6% of lipid **1** in the presence and absence of camptothecin-azide **20** (CPT-N<sub>3</sub>). This produced liposome-immobilized conjugate **21**, which was confirmed by mass spectrometry (see Supporting Information). Camptothecin itself was studied using a DMSO vehicle as a positive control, and all cell viability studies were performed using a standard MTS assay. In these studies, liposomes containing **1** alone did not influence cell viability, but those that were preincubated with added **20** ranging from 10 to 50  $\mu$ M led to a dose-dependent decrease in living cells (Figure 5). Released camptothecin was detected by mass spectrometry as the dehydration product following extraction of cells under acidic conditions (Supporting Information). A standard solution of camptothecin was subjected to the same extraction conditions and yielded the same peak, and both spectra are included in the Supporting Information. Compound **20** alone was not viable for studies since it is not soluble in aqueous solution. These results demonstrate the delivery of camptothecin to cancer cells using compound **1**.

These studies provide insight into the question of whether lipid **1** is effective at entering cells prior to release. For release via photocleavage, it is difficult to conclude whether this compound enters cells since lipid **1** bearing cargo could insert into the outer leaflet of cell membranes, and release could then result in a high local concentration of the drug around the periphery of the cell, leading to activity. Alternatively, the derivatized lipid could initially insert into the outer leaflet of cell membranes and flip across the membrane into the inner leaflet prior to release. Finally, it could be engulfed into an endosome to stimulate cell entry. The two latter modes of action would result in the complex gaining access to the cytoplasm of the cells. Cell entry would be ideal for delivery purposes, although



**Figure 5.** MTS assay in human cancer cell line indicates that CPT-N<sub>3</sub> **20** reduces cell proliferation in a dose-dependent manner. HeLa cells were treated with vehicle (CT (-)-DMSO 0.01%), CPT (**22**, 50  $\mu$ M), liposomes control (Lip), and liposomes preincubated with CPT-N<sub>3</sub> **22** (Lip + CPT-N<sub>3</sub>) at different CPT-N<sub>3</sub> concentrations (10, 25, and 50  $\mu$ M) for 48 h. Cell proliferation was measured by MTS assay, and the graph represents the percentage relative to the control CT (-). The results represent the mean of three independent experiments. Statics *t*-test: \* *p* < 0.05 and \*\* *p* < 0.001 two population relative to CT (-). The error bars shown correspond to the standard deviation.

the likelihood of either cell penetration process would depend upon the properties of the specific drug that is being delivered. We envision that lipid **1** would be particularly effective for cell penetration and/or flipping across the membrane since it does not possess a particularly polar headgroup in the triazole. The camptothecin esterase release results provide evidence to support that lipid **1**-mediated internalization does occur, since the compound would need to be exposed to the cytoplasm in order for the release by esterase hydrolysis to be activated.

In conclusion, we have designed, synthesized, and evaluated compound **1** as a copper-free clickable lipid analogue that enables delivery of molecular agents to cell membranes and subsequent release through photocleavage of the 2-nitrobenzyl linker connecting the introduced cargo to the membrane anchor. Through fluorogenic liposome modification and fluorescent liposome immobilization studies, we have confirmed the efficacy of lipid modification in a membrane environment for delivery purposes. We performed validation studies using two different types of live cells from different organisms, first exploiting microscopy to demonstrate that fluorescence was activated by delivery of fluorophores to S.

*cerevisiae* cell membranes and then deactivated by photo-triggered release from the lipid backbone. Next, we probed the efficacy of delivery to human cancer cells to evaluate the prospects for future medicinal applications. In this case, an alternate drug release mechanism hinging upon ester cleavage by esterase enzymes using azido-camptothecin **20** was used in part to determine if this would enable cell entry of the drug. These studies yielded a dose-dependent response of cell viability, validating drug delivery to cells, and also provided evidence that lipid **1** can stimulate drug entry into cells for release triggered by intracellular esterase enzymes. Therefore, this work presents a promising strategy for convenient bioconjugation and concentration of molecular cargo at cell membranes with subsequent triggered release of active molecules.

## ■ EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

**General Experimental.** Reagents and solvents were generally purchased from Acros, Aldrich, or Fisher Scientific and used as received. PC (1- $\alpha$ -phosphatidylcholine from chicken egg) was purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids, Inc., and 4(aminomethyl)benzoic acid was purchased from Chem Impex International. Dry solvents were obtained from a Pure solvent delivery system purchased from Innovative Technology, Inc. Column chromatography was performed using 230–400 mesh silica gel purchased from Sorbent Technologies. NMR spectra were obtained using Varian Mercury 500 and 600 spectrometers. Mass spectra were obtained with JEOL DART-AccuTOF and ABI Voyager DE Pro MALDI mass spectrometers with high-resolution capabilities. Optical rotation values were obtained using a PerkinElmer 241 polarimeter. Liposome extruder and polycarbonate membranes were obtained from Avestin (Ottawa, Canada). Ultrapure water was purified via a Millipore water system ( $\geq 18$  M $\Omega$ -cm triple water purification system). 4-(((*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)-methyl)-3-nitrobenzoic acid (**8**),<sup>41</sup> (R)-(2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)methyl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (**10**),<sup>46,47</sup> Biotin-lipid **19**,<sup>47</sup> 6-azidohexanoic acid (**23**),<sup>48</sup> and ADIBO-carboxylic acid **4**<sup>49</sup> were synthesized according to prior literature procedures. Solution fluorescence studies were performed using a PerkinElmer LS55 fluorimeter. For photocleavage, samples were irradiated using a Rayonet Preparative Type RS photoreactor. Disposable polystyrene cuvettes were purchased from Fisher Scientific. Microplate-based fluorescence measurements were performed using a BioTek synergy 2 multidetection microplate reader. Black reacti-bind streptavidin high binding capacity (HBC) coated 96-well microplates were purchased from Pierce Biotechnology (Rockford, IL). Cell images were captured using a Leica SP2 laser scanning confocal microscope. Fluorescent cell counting was performed using a BD FACS (fluorescence activated cell sorting) Calibur flow cytometer.

***tert*-Butyl-2-nitro-4-(prop-2-yn-1-ylcarbamoyl)-benzylcarbamate (2).** To 4-(((*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-methyl)-3-nitrobenzoic acid (**8**, 0.718 g, 2.40 mmol) dissolved in 200 mL of dichloromethane in a 500 mL round-bottomed flask were added dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC, 0.743, 3.60 mmol) and *N,N*-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP, 0.147 g, 1.20 mmol). After 30 min, propargylamine (185  $\mu$ L, 2.88 mmol) was added. The reaction was then allowed to stir overnight, after which it was washed with water, and the aqueous portion was extracted with dichloromethane (3  $\times$  25 mL). The organic layers were then combined and dried with magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. Column

chromatography using gradient elution with 30–50% ethyl acetate–hexanes gave **2** as a yellow solid (638 mg, 79% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  8.45 (s, 1H), 8.06 (d, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (s, 2H), 4.13 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 2H), 2.58 (t, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.41 (s, 9H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  165.46, 156.59, 147.87, 138.06, 134.03, 132.15, 130.23, 124.01, 79.98, 79.05, 71.18, 33.57, 29.29, 28.02, 25.48, 24.79. HRMS-DART: [M – H]<sup>–</sup> calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 332.1246; found, 332.1243.

**(R)-2,3-Dihydroxypropyl-4-methylbenzenesulfonate (11).** To (R)-(2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)methyl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (**10**, 1.00 g, 3.49 mmol)<sup>46,47</sup> dissolved in 50 mL of methanol in a 500 mL round-bottomed flask was added 125 mL of 0.50 N hydrochloric acid, and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 12 h. The excess HCl was neutralized by adding solid sodium bicarbonate until carbon dioxide gas release was complete. Next, the crude mixture was passed through Celite and filtered off, and the methanol was removed by rotary evaporation. Compound **11** was then eluted with 100% ethyl acetate using normal phase silica gel column chromatography and obtained as a colorless oil (816 mg, 95% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.77 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 4.03 (s, 2H), 3.92 (s, 1H), 3.57 (m, 2H), 2.42 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  145.22, 132.21, 130.02, 127.95, 70.94, 69.68, 62.77, 21.62. HRMS-DART: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S, 247.0640; found, 247.0644.

**(S)-3-Azidopropane-1,2-diol (12).** In a 250 mL round-bottom flask, diol **11** (0.50 g, 2.03 mmol) and sodium azide (0.39 g, 6.09 mmol) were dissolved in *N,N*-dimethylformamide, and the reaction was refluxed for 24 h. Next, ice water was added to the reaction mixture, the resulting mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (2  $\times$  50 mL) and dried over magnesium sulfate, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, yielding **12** as a white solid (0.17 g, 70% yield). NMR and mass spectra data matched with literature values for compound **12**.<sup>47</sup>

**(S)-3-(4-(((4-(((*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)-3-nitrobenzamido)methyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)propane-1,2-diyl distearate (13).** To alkyne **2** (0.400 g, 1.20 mmol) dissolved in 125 mL tetrahydrofuran/water (1:1) in a 250 mL round-bottomed flask was added azide **3** (0.780 g, 1.20 mmol). Next, copper sulfate pentahydrate (0.390 g, 1.56 mmol) and last sodium ascorbate (0.475 g, 2.40 mmol) were added. After overnight stirring, the solution was then concentrated and dried under high vacuum. Column chromatography with 5% methanol/dichloromethane gave product **13** as a light yellow solid (575 mg, 50% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, 85% CDCl<sub>3</sub>–CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  9.06 (s, NH), 8.54 (s, 1H), 8.13 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (s, 1H), 7.70 (m, 1H), 6.54 (s, NH), 5.49–5.37 (m, 1H), 4.71–4.58 (m, 4H), 4.38 (dd, *J* = 12.0, 3.8 Hz, 2H), 4.10 (dd, *J* = 7.1, 4.8 Hz, 2H), 2.41–2.24 (m, 4H), 1.69–1.53 (m, 4H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.27 (s, 56H), 0.89 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, 85% CDCl<sub>3</sub>–CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  173.85, 173.20, 165.83, 156.71, 154.58, 148.24, 145.05, 138.24, 134.53, 132.39, 131.00, 124.46, 77.82, 69.68, 62.41, 50.48, 35.15, 34.23, 33.94, 32.62, 32.16, 31.07, 29.92, 29.72, 29.59, 29.35, 29.25, 28.43, 26.25, 25.84, 25.07, 24.96, 22.90, 14.19. HRMS-DART: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>55</sub>H<sub>94</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>9</sub>, 983.72; found, 983.73. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>20</sup> –3.33° (c 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

**ADIBO–Nitrophenyl–Lipid (1).** In a 50 mL round-bottom flask, compound **13** (42.6 mg, 0.113 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL dichloromethane/trifluoroacetic acid (1:1)



and stirred for 5 h. Excess acid was removed by rotary evaporation with dichloromethane. The free amine was redissolved in 15 mL dichloromethane. To this solution were added hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt, 15.3 mg, 0.113 mmol) and *O*-(benzotriazol-1-yl)-*N,N,N',N'*-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HBTU, 42.8 mg, 0.113 mmol). After 30 min, a mixture containing ADIBO **4**<sup>49</sup> dissolved in 10 mL of dichloromethane with diisopropylethylamine (92.6  $\mu$ L, 0.566 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture, which was then allowed to stir at rt under a nitrogen atmosphere overnight. The next day, the crude mixture was passed through Celite and then washed with 50 mL of water. The collected aqueous phase was next washed with dichloromethane (3  $\times$  20 mL). The organic layers were then combined and dried with magnesium sulfate. After filtration and concentration, column chromatography was carried out through gradient elution with 7–10% methanol/dichloromethane, which provided lipid **1** as a yellow-white solid (53.4 mg, 38% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, 85% CDCl<sub>3</sub>–CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  8.79 (t, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 8.54 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, *J* = 12.7 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (s, 1H), 7.67 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.42–7.37 (m, 3H), 7.34–7.26 (m, 3H), 6.89–6.85 (m, 1H), 5.43–5.37 (m, 1H), 5.16–5.11 (m, 1H), 4.73–4.68 (m, 2H), 4.65–4.57 (m, 4H), 4.33 (dd, *J* = 12.2, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (dd, *J* = 12.2, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.37 (dq, *J* = 4.2, 1.4, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 3.26–3.21 (m, 1H), 3.15 (m, 2H), 2.36–2.28 (m, 2H), 2.05–1.98 (m, 4H), 1.58 (m, 4H), 1.41 (dd, *J* = 10.1, 3.7 Hz, 4H), 1.26 (s, 56H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, 85% CDCl<sub>3</sub>–CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  173.64, 173.28, 172.97, 172.60, 172.25, 171.95, 165.55, 151.05, 148.17, 147.95, 144.92, 137.24, 134.35, 132.25, 132.13, 130.82, 129.15, 128.84, 128.67, 128.40, 128.06, 127.33, 125.74, 124.33, 124.25, 123.03, 122.59, 114.87, 107.80, 69.51, 62.24, 60.77, 55.74, 54.87, 50.35, 35.55, 35.08, 34.52, 34.12, 32.05, 31.71, 31.25, 29.83, 29.62, 24.91, 22.80, 14.16. MALDI-MS: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>72</sub>H<sub>104</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>10</sub>, 1263.78; found, 1263.77. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>24.0</sup> +1.2° (c 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

**(S)-4-Ethyl-3,14-dioxo-3,4,12,14-tetrahydro-1H-pyrano[3',4':6,7]indolizino[1,2-b]quinolin-4-yl 6-azido-hexanoate (20).** To 6-azidohexanoic acid (**23**) (1.13 g, 7.20 mmol) dissolved in 30 mL of dry dichloromethane in a 500 mL round-bottomed flask were added dimethylaminopyridine (0.88 g, 7.20 mmol), *N,N'*-diisopropylcarbodiimide (1.13 mL, 7.20 mmol), and Scandium(III) triflate (0.71 g, 1.44 mmol). The reaction mixture was cooled to –8 °C. Lastly, (S)-(+)-camptothecin (**22**) (0.83 g, 2.38 mmol) was added, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir for 18 h. The reaction mixture was washed with 0.1 N HCl and 1% (v/v) sodium bicarbonate solution. Residual water was dried over magnesium sulfate and then was passed through Celite and filtered off. Compound **20** was then eluted with 100% ethyl acetate using normal phase silica gel column chromatography and obtained as a white solid (712 mg, 61% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.40 (s, 1H), 8.22 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.96–7.93 (m, 1H), 7.86–7.83 (m, 1H), 7.68 (m, 1H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 5.68 (d, *J* = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.41 (d, *J* = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (dd, *J* = 3.5, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 3.22 (dt, *J* = 6.9, 2.7 Hz, 2H), 2.52 (m, 2H), 2.29–2.13 (m, 2H), 1.72–1.67 (m, 2H), 1.60 (m, 2H), 1.46–1.40 (m, 2H), 0.98 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  172.39, 167.52, 157.33, 152.34, 148.84, 146.21, 131.21, 129.52, 128.45, 128.22, 128.17, 128.04, 120.28, 95.89, 75.82, 67.10, 51.12, 49.91, 33.57, 31.84, 28.49, 26.03, 24.17. HRMS-DART: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 488.1933; found, 488.1935.

**Liposome Preparation.** Stock solutions were made of synthetic lipid **1**, PC, and biotin lipid **19** for microplate studies. Examples of each stock solution used are as follows: 8.0 mg of lipid **1**, 17.2 mg of PC, and 3.90 mg of **19** were each weighed into separate vials and dissolved in 500  $\mu$ L of chloroform to form stock solutions of 1.29 mM lipid **1**, 1.67 mM biotin-lipid **19**, and 44.7 mM PC. Using these stock solutions, 55.9  $\mu$ L of lipid **1** stock (2%), 5.40  $\mu$ L of **19** stock (1%), and 78.2  $\mu$ L of PC stock (97%) were combined in a glass vial. For solution phase fluorimeter studies, a representative sample included 31.0  $\mu$ L of lipid **1** stock (4%) and 21.5  $\mu$ L of PC stock (96%), whereas a control sample contained 22.4  $\mu$ L of PC stock (100%). In each case, the chloroform solvent was removed under a stream of nitrogen, and the resulting mixture was placed under vacuum overnight. The dried lipids were then hydrated by adding 500  $\mu$ L of HEPES buffer (20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) and rotated on a rotary evaporator for 60 min at 40 °C. The liposomes were then subjected to 10 freeze–thaw cycles and extruded at rt (21 times) to obtain uniform size and lamellarity using an extruder containing a 200 nm polycarbonate filter.

**Solution Phase Fluorescence Detection of Liposome Derivatization.** A 2.40 mM solution of azido-coumarin **18** in dimethyl sulfoxide was prepared. 4.00  $\mu$ L of this solution was then diluted with 1.88 mL of HEPES buffer (20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4), placed into a cuvette, and sealed with parafilm to minimize atmospheric exposure. After an initial fluorescence scan ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$  = 350 nm;  $\lambda_{\text{em}}$  = 461 nm), 120  $\mu$ L of control liposomal solution (2 mM, 100% PC) was added, and fluorescence readings were recorded for 45 min. The same procedure was followed for the study sample, except 120  $\mu$ L of liposomal solution (2 mM, 96% PC, and 4% lipid **1**) was added, followed by fluorescence scanning over time.

**Microplate Fluorescence Liposome Derivatization Studies.** A 400  $\mu$ M solution of azido-coumarin **18** in dimethyl sulfoxide was prepared. In a 96-well streptavidin-coated microplate, 200  $\mu$ L of wash buffer (0.5 $\times$  PBS, pH 7.4, 0.56 mM phosphates) was added to each row to be used. The plate was then shaken for 30 min, after which the wash buffer was removed. Next, 0, 1, 2.5, 5.00, 7.50, 10.0, 15.0, 20.0, and 25.0  $\mu$ L of liposome solution (2 mM, 97% PC, 2% lipid **1**, and 1% **19**) and 187.5, 198.5, 169.3, 192.3, 188.8, 177.5, 170, 162.5  $\mu$ L of HEPES buffer (20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) were added to the appropriate wells to produce final liposome concentrations of 0, 10, 25, 50, 75, 100, 150, 200, and 250  $\mu$ M in separate wells. In addition, 12.5 (control), 0.50, 1.25, 2.50, 3.75, 5.00, 7.50, 10.0, 12.5  $\mu$ L of azido-coumarin **18** solution (5 equiv, 400  $\mu$ M solution) were added to each well to a total volume of 200  $\mu$ L. These solutions were incubated, with shaking, for 3 h at rt. Following incubation, the solutions were removed, and each well was washed with 3  $\times$  200  $\mu$ L wash buffer, and 200  $\mu$ L of HEPES buffer (20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) was then added. Lastly, fluorescence was measured using a microplate reader with a 360 nm ( $\pm$ 40 nm) excitation filter and 460 nm ( $\pm$ 40 nm) emission filter.

**Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells.** A YPD (yeast extract peptone dextrose) agar plate was inoculated with wild type *S. cerevisiae* strain S288 W CT and incubated at 30 °C for 24 h. Next, 5 mL of YPD media was inoculated with a single colony obtained from the agar plate and incubated on a shaker at 300 rpm at 30 °C with good aeration for 15 h. Next, 500  $\mu$ L aliquots from this culture were transferred into two detergent-free 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 25 mL of fresh YPD



medium with (study) and without (control) lipid analogue **1** (100  $\mu$ M in dimethyl sulfoxide, 300  $\mu$ L), both of which were incubated on a shaker at 300 rpm at 30 °C for 16 to 17 h. For good aeration, the medium constituted of no more than one-fifth of the total flask volume. Thereafter, the cell concentration was adjusted spectrophotometrically to approximately  $10^6$  CFU/mL. Finally, 1 mL of the cell solution was transferred into Eppendorf tube, centrifuged at 9000 rpm for 4 min, and then stored at  $-80$  °C.

**Fluorescence Imaging and Flow Cytometry Analysis of Fluorescence Labeling and Photocleavage Using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Cells.** Two 1 mL samples of *S. cerevisiae* cells, one grown with compound **1** (study) and one untreated (control), were incubated with 100  $\mu$ M Azide-Fluor 488 (**14**) in 300  $\mu$ L of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) solution overnight at rt. Next, the cell samples were centrifuged for 3 min at 2500 rpm, the dye solutions were removed, and the cells were washed once with 5% DMSO in water and twice with 20% DMSO in water, with vortexing and centrifugation at 2500 rpm for 3 min performed in between each washing step. Next, 1 mL of ice-cold methanol was added to each tube, and after incubating for 10 min at 0 °C, the sample was centrifuged. Next, 1 mL of phosphate buffered saline solution (PBS, pH 7.4, 1.19 mM phosphates) was used to wash the fixed cell samples, which were then centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 3 min. Cells were then subjected to fluorescence microscopy. To assess photocleavage, 200  $\mu$ L of clicked cell sample was added into a disposable cuvette, and 800  $\mu$ L HEPES buffer (20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) was added. For photocleavage, cells were irradiated with 350 nm light while suspended in a Pyrex beaker between four 350 nm bulbs in a Rayonet preparative type RS photoreactor. This was done for different time periods (1, 2, 3, and 12 h) to assess photocleavage over time. After photoirradiation, the cell samples in buffer were transferred into Eppendorf tubes, centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 3 min, and then washed seven times with 1 mL of 20% DMSO/water. Each time, they were vortexed and centrifuged at 9000 rpm for 4 min. Lastly, the cells were washed with 1 mL of 1 $\times$  PBS buffer (pH 7.4, 1.19 mM phosphate buffer), and fluorescence microscope images after photocleavage were then obtained. For flow cytometry analysis, cell samples were analyzed using a BD FACS (fluorescence activated cell sorting) Calibur flow cytometer (Becton, Dickinson and Company) equipped with two lasers (488 and 635 nm). Green fluorescence (FL-1) was measured for all cell samples. Populations of the yeast cells were identified and gated according to the fluorescent intensity. Data acquisition was performed and analyzed using FlowJo software. For each sample analyzed in the flow cytometer, at least 100,000 events were acquired.

**Cell Proliferation Assay (MTS).** HeLa cells were cultured in a humidified incubator under 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% of fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen). Cell viability was measured using CellTiter 96 Aqueous One Solution (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, cells were seeded ( $10^4$  cells per well) 2 days prior to the experiments to a 96 well plate, exposed to vehicle (DMSO and liposomes), CPT, CPT-N<sub>3</sub>, or CPT-N<sub>3</sub> + liposomes mix at different concentrations of CPT, CPT-N<sub>3</sub> (10, 25, and 50  $\mu$ M), and incubated for 48 h. The liposomal solution consisted of 50  $\mu$ M lipid analogue **1** formed as 8.5 mM liposomes (94% PC, 6% lipid analogue **1**). The MTS assay was performed in 100  $\mu$ L of DMEM phenol red-free medium (Invitrogen) in each well, and 20  $\mu$ L of the CellTiter solution

was added to the samples, after which the plate was placed in a 37 °C incubator with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> until it reached the desired color. The absorbance at 490 nm was measured in a plate reader (Synergy 2, Biotek). The results are representative of three independent experiments, performed in quadruplicate. The inhibition of cell proliferation was expressed as the percentage of vehicle control (0.01% DMSO in the culture medium for CPT).

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### ● Supporting Information

NMR spectra of synthetic compounds and mass spectra of clicked conjugate and released products. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.5b00044.

## ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: mdbest@utk.edu.

### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under grant no. CHE-0954297. We acknowledge assistance from Dr. Shawn Campagna and Dr. Todd Reynolds with growing cells, Dr. Jon Dunlap with fluorescence imaging experiments, and Dr. Tim Sparer for flow cytometry analysis.

## ■ REFERENCES

- (1) Mintzer, M. A., and Simanek, E. E. (2009) Nonviral vectors for gene delivery. *Chem. Rev.* 109, 259–302.
- (2) Brochu, H., Polidori, A., Pucci, B., and Vermette, P. (2004) Drug delivery systems using immobilized intact liposomes: a comparative and critical review. *Curr. Drug Delivery* 1, 299–312.
- (3) Ferrara, K. W., Borden, M. A., and Zhang, H. (2009) Lipid-shelled vehicles: engineering for ultrasound molecular imaging and drug delivery. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 42, 881–892.
- (4) Vermette, P., Meagher, L., Gagnon, E., Griesser, H. J., and Doillon, C. J. (2002) Immobilized liposome layers for drug delivery applications: inhibition of angiogenesis. *J. Controlled Release* 80, 179–195.
- (5) Asai, T., Tsuzuku, T., Takahashi, S., Okamoto, A., Dewa, T., Nango, M., Hyodo, K., Ishihara, H., Kikuchi, H., and Oku, N. (2014) Cell-penetrating peptide-conjugated lipid nanoparticles for siRNA delivery. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 444, 599–604.
- (6) Sletten, E. M., and Bertozzi, C. R. (2009) Bioorthogonal chemistry: fishing for selectivity in a sea of functionality. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 48, 6974–6998.
- (7) Best, M. D. (2009) Click chemistry and bioorthogonal reactions: unprecedented selectivity in the labeling of biological molecules. *Biochemistry* 48, 6571–6584.
- (8) Debets, M. F., van der Doelen, C. W. J., Rutjes, F., and van Delft, F. L. (2010) Azide: a unique dipole for metal-free bioorthogonal ligations. *ChemBioChem* 11, 1168–1184.
- (9) Mamidyala, S. K., and Finn, M. G. (2010) In situ click chemistry: probing the binding landscapes of biological molecules. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 39, 1252–1261.
- (10) Moses, J. E., and Moorhouse, A. D. (2007) The growing applications of click chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 36, 1249–1262.
- (11) Salisbury, C. M., and Cravatt, B. F. (2007) Click chemistry-led advances in high content functional proteomics. *QSAR Comb. Sci.* 26, 1229–1238.

- (12) Best, M. D. (2013) The development and application of clickable lipid analogs for elucidating and harnessing lipid function, in *Click Chemistry in Glycoscience: New Developments and Strategies* (Witczak, Z. J., and Bielski, R., Ed.) pp 79–105, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ.
- (13) Best, M. D., Rowland, M. M., and Bostic, H. E. (2011) Exploiting bioorthogonal chemistry to elucidate protein–lipid binding interactions and other biological roles of phospholipids. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 44, 686–698.
- (14) Hassane, F. S., Frisch, B., and Schuber, F. (2006) Targeted liposomes: convenient coupling of ligands to preformed vesicles using “click chemistry”. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 17, 849–854.
- (15) Cavalli, S., Tipton, A. R., Overhand, M., and Kros, A. (2006) The chemical modification of liposome surfaces via a copper-mediated 3 + 2 azide-alkyne cycloaddition monitored by a colorimetric assay. *Chem. Commun.* 30, 3193–3195.
- (16) van Lengerich, B., Rawle, R. J., and Boxer, S. G. (2010) Covalent attachment of lipid vesicles to a fluid-supported bilayer allows observation of DNA-mediated vesicle interactions. *Langmuir* 26, 8666–8672.
- (17) Loosli, F., Doval, D. A., Grassi, D., Zaffalon, P.-L., Favarger, F., and Zumbuehl, A. (2012) Clickosomes—using triazole-linked phospholipid connectors to fuse vesicles. *Chem. Commun.* 48, 1604–1606.
- (18) Ma, Y., Zhang, H. L., and Sun, X. L. (2010) Surface-bound cytomimetic assembly based on chemoselective and biocompatible immobilization and further modification of intact liposome. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 21, 1994–1999.
- (19) Sheng, R. L., Luo, T., Li, H., Sun, J. J., Wang, Z., and Cao, A. (2013) ‘Click’ synthesized sterol-based cationic lipids as gene carriers, and the effect of skeletons and headgroups on gene delivery. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 21, 6366–6377.
- (20) Kumar, A., Erasquin, U. J., Qin, G. T., Li, K., and Cai, C. Z. (2010) “Clickable”, polymerized liposomes as a versatile and stable platform for rapid optimization of their peripheral compositions. *Chem. Commun.* 46, 5746–5748.
- (21) Bostic, H. E., Smith, M. D., Poloukhine, A. A., Popik, V. V., and Best, M. D. (2012) Membrane labeling and immobilization via copper-free click chemistry. *Chem. Commun.* 48, 1431–1433.
- (22) Zhang, H. L., Weingart, J., Jiang, R., Peng, J. H., Wu, Q. Y., and Sun, X. L. (2013) Bio-inspired liposomal thrombomodulin conjugate through bio-orthogonal chemistry. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 24, 550–559.
- (23) Emmetiere, F., Irwin, C., Viola-Villegas, N. T., Longo, V., Cheal, S. M., Zanzonico, P., Pillarsetty, N., Weber, W. A., Lewis, J. S., and Reiner, T. (2013) F-18-Labeled-bioorthogonal liposomes for in vivo targeting. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 24, 1784–1789.
- (24) Alvarez-Lorenzo, C., Bromberg, L., and Concheiro, A. (2009) Light-sensitive Intelligent drug delivery systems. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 85, 848–860.
- (25) Yavlovich, A., Smith, B., Gupta, K., Blumenthal, R., and Puri, A. (2010) Light-sensitive lipid-based nanoparticles for drug delivery: design principles and future considerations for biological applications. *Mol. Membr. Biol.* 27, 364–381.
- (26) Randles, E. G., and Bergethon, P. R. (2013) A photodependent switch of liposome stability and permeability. *Langmuir* 29, 1490–1497.
- (27) Leung, S. J., and Romanowski, M. (2012) Light-activated content release from liposomes. *Theranostics* 2, 1020–1036.
- (28) Ong, W., Yang, Y. M., Cruciano, A. C., and McCarley, R. L. (2008) Redox-triggered contents release from liposomes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 130, 14739–14744.
- (29) Andresen, T. L., Davidsen, J., Begtrup, M., Mouritsen, O. G., and Jorgensen, K. (2004) Enzymatic release of antitumor ether lipids by specific phospholipase A2 activation of liposome-forming prodrugs. *J. Med. Chem.* 47, 1694–1703.
- (30) Koning, G. A., Eggermont, A. M. M., Lindner, L. H., and ten Hagen, T. L. M. (2010) Hyperthermia and thermosensitive liposomes for improved delivery of chemotherapeutic drugs to solid tumors. *Pharm. Res.* 27, 1750–1754.
- (31) Pradhan, P., Giri, J., Rieken, F., Koch, C., Mykhaylyk, O., Doeblinger, M., Banerjee, R., Bahadur, D., and Plank, C. (2010) Targeted temperature sensitive magnetic liposomes for thermo-chemotherapy. *J. Controlled Release* 142, 108–121.
- (32) Kono, K., Ozawa, T., Yoshida, T., Ozaki, F., Ishizaka, Y., Maruyama, K., Kojima, C., Harada, A., and Aoshima, S. (2010) Highly temperature-sensitive liposomes based on a thermosensitive block copolymer for tumor-specific chemotherapy. *Biomaterials* 31, 7096–7105.
- (33) Ranjan, A., Jacobs, G. C., Woods, D. L., Negussie, A. H., Partanen, A., Yarmolenko, P. S., Gacchina, C. E., Sharma, K. V., Frenkel, V., Wood, B. J., et al. (2012) Image-guided drug delivery with magnetic resonance guided high intensity focused ultrasound and temperature sensitive liposomes in a rabbit Vx2 tumor model. *J. Controlled Release* 158, 487–494.
- (34) Kale, A. A., and Torchilin, V. P. (2007) Design, synthesis, and characterization of pH-sensitive PEG-PE conjugates for stimulus-sensitive pharmaceutical nanocarriers: The effect of substitutes at the hydrazone linkage on the pH stability of PEG–PE conjugates. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 18, 363–370.
- (35) Kim, I.-Y., Kang, Y.-S., Lee, D. S., Park, H.-J., Choi, E.-K., Oh, Y.-K., Son, H.-J., and Kim, J.-S. (2009) Antitumor activity of EGFR targeted pH-sensitive immunoliposomes encapsulating gemcitabine in A549 xenograft nude mice. *J. Controlled Release* 140, 55–60.
- (36) Koren, E., Apte, A., Jani, A., and Torchilin, V. P. (2012) Multifunctional PEGylated 2C5-immunoliposomes containing pH-sensitive bonds and TAT peptide for enhanced tumor cell internalization and cytotoxicity. *J. Controlled Release* 160, 264–273.
- (37) Yu, Y., Chen, C. K., Law, W. C., Weinheimer, E., Sengupta, S., Prasad, P. N., and Cheng, C. (2014) Polylactide-graft-doxorubicin nanoparticles with precisely controlled drug loading for pH-triggered drug delivery. *Biomacromolecules* 15, 524–532.
- (38) Pelliccioli, A. P., and Wirz, J. (2002) Photoremovable protecting groups: reaction mechanisms and applications. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 1, 441–458.
- (39) Zhang, Z. Y., and Smith, B. D. (1999) Synthesis and characterization of NVOC-DOPE, a caged photoactivatable derivative of dioleoylphosphatidylethanolamine. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 10, 1150–1152.
- (40) Nagasaki, T., Taniguchi, A., and Tamagaki, S. (2003) Photoenhancement of transfection efficiency using novel cationic lipids having a photocleavable spacer. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 14, 513–516.
- (41) Chandra, B., Subramaniam, R., Mallik, S., and Srivastava, D. K. (2006) Formulation of photocleavable liposomes and the mechanism of their content release. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 4, 1730–1740.
- (42) Chandra, B., Mallik, S., and Srivastava, D. K. (2005) Design of photocleavable lipids and their application in liposomal “uncorking”. *Chem. Commun.*, 3021–3023.
- (43) Subramaniam, R., Xioa, Y., Li, Y., Qian, S. Y., Sun, W., and Mallik, S. (2010) Light-mediated and H-bond facilitated liposomal release: the role of lipid head groups in release efficiency. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 51, 529–532.
- (44) Wan, Y. Q., Angleson, J. K., and Kutateladze, A. G. (2002) Liposomes from novel photolabile phospholipids: light-induced unloading of small molecules as monitored by PFG NMR. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 124, 5610–5611.
- (45) Bayer, A. M., Alam, S., Mattern-Schain, S. I., and Best, M. D. (2014) Triggered liposomal release through a synthetic phosphatidylcholine analogue bearing a photocleavable moiety embedded within the sn-2 acyl chain. *Chem.—Eur. J.* 20, 3350–3357.
- (46) Nelson, W. L., Wennerstrom, J. E., and Sankar, S. R. (1977) Absolute-configuration of glycerol derivatives 0.3. Synthesis and cupra a circular-dichroism spectra of some chiral 3-aryloxy-1,2-propanediols and 3-aryloxy-1-amino-2-propanols. *J. Org. Chem.* 42, 1006–1012.
- (47) Losey, E. A., Smith, M. D., Meng, M., and Best, M. D. (2009) Microplate-based analysis of protein–membrane interactions via immobilization of whole liposomes containing a biotinylated anchor. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 20, 376–383.

- (48) Grandjean, C., Boutonnier, A., Guerreiro, C., Fournier, J. M., and Mulard, L. A. (2005) On the preparation of carbohydrate–protein conjugates using the traceless Staudinger ligation. *J. Org. Chem.* 70, 7123–7132.
- (49) Pathak, R. K., McKnitt, C. D., Popik, V. V., and Dhar, S. (2014) Copper-free click-chemistry platform to functionalize cisplatin prodrugs. *Chem.—Eur. J.* 20, 6861–6865.
- (50) Kuzmin, A., Poloukhine, A., Wolfert, M. A., and Popik, V. V. (2010) Surface functionalization using catalyst-free azide-alkyne cycloaddition. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 21, 2076–2085.
- (51) Debets, M. F., van Berkel, S. S., Schoffelen, S., Rutjes, F., van Hest, J. C. M., and van Delft, F. L. (2010) Aza-dibenzocyclooctynes for fast and efficient enzyme PEGylation via copper-free (3 + 2) cycloaddition. *Chem. Commun.* 46, 97–99.
- (52) Ning, X. H., Guo, J., Wolfert, M. A., and Boons, G. J. (2008) Visualizing metabolically labeled glycoconjugates of living cells by copper-free and fast Huisgen cycloadditions. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 47, 2253–2255.
- (53) O’Neil, E. J., DiVittorio, K. M., and Smith, B. D. (2007) Phosphatidylcholine-derived bolaamphiphiles via click chemistry. *Org. Lett.* 9, 199–202.
- (54) Cho, W. W., Bittova, L., and Stahelin, R. V. (2001) Membrane binding assays for peripheral proteins. *Anal. Biochem.* 296, 153–161.
- (55) Narayan, K., and Lemmon, M. A. (2006) Determining selectivity of phosphoinositide-binding domains. *Methods* 39, 122–133.
- (56) Chan, Y. H. M., and Boxer, S. G. (2007) Model membrane systems and their applications. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 11, 581–587.
- (57) Jelinek, R., and Silbert, L. (2009) Biomimetic approaches for studying membrane processes. *Mol. Biosyst.* 5, 811–818.
- (58) Sivakumar, K., Xie, F., Cash, B. M., Long, S., Barnhill, H. N., and Wang, Q. (2004) A fluorogenic 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction of 3-azidocoumarins and acetylenes. *Org. Lett.* 6, 4603–4606.
- (59) Smith, M. D., and Best, M. D. (2011) Characterization of protein-membrane binding interactions via a microplate assay employing whole liposome immobilization, in *Bioconjugation Protocols: Strategies and Methods* (Mark, S. S., Ed.) 2nd ed., pp 477–489, Humana Press Inc, Totowa, NJ.
- (60) O’Leary, J., and Muggia, F. M. (1998) Camptothecins: a review of their development and schedules of administration. *Eur. J. Cancer* 34, 1500–1508.